



T26G1 - Digit Puzzle II

Nicholas Ko {__jk__}

2026-03-28

Background

Problem Idea by mtyeung1

Preparation by __jk__ and happypotato

Problem Statement

- Input gives you N **even** integers $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[N]$.
- You put either a +, - or \times between every two neighbouring integers.
- Find the maximum value of the mathematical expression formed by it.

Statistics

T26G1 - Digit Puzzle II	16	100	79.687	21.647	8: 16	10: 16	22: 13	13: 8	17: 15	24: 14	6: 1
-------------------------	----	-----	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	------

Subtask 1 (8%): $N = 2, A[1] = 0$

- If $A[2] = 0$, the answer is 0, no matter which operator we put.
- If $A[2] > 0$, the answer is $A[2]$ by putting a +.
- If $A[2] < 0$, the answer is $-A[2]$ by putting a -.

- In short, the answer is $\text{abs}(A[2])$.
- Expected Score: 8

Subtask 2 (10%): $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[N]$ are all positive

- **Observation:** for all integers $a, b \geq 2$, we have $a \times b \geq a + b \geq 2$.
- This means putting $a *$ between two positive integers is never worse than putting $a +$ between them.
- Therefore, by the observation, it is never worse to use $*$ than $+$ or $-$ in this subtask.
- So the answer is $A[1] \times A[2] \times \dots \times A[N]$.
- Expected Score: 10

Subtask 3 (22%): $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[N]$ are all non-negative

- Note that if at least one term in a multiplication is 0, then the final product must be 0.
- Therefore, we cannot get any non-zero value for a chain of multiplication involving a 0.
- Because all numbers are non-negative here, isolating the 0s by changing the operators to the left and right of it to be + or -) is never worse.

Subtask 3 (22%): $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[N]$ are all non-negative

- We thus first partition the 0s out first, so that each subarray either is either one 0 only, or some positive integers.
- Compute the product of each of those maximal length subarrays without a 0, and sum them together to get the answer.
- Expected Score: $10 + 22 = 32$

The “Big Picture” of the task

- Because \times is calculated before $+$ and $-$, we can interpret the $+$ and $-$ s as a partitioning of the array A .
- In other words, the $+$ and $-$ s divide A into multiple subarrays, where within each subarray there are only multiplications (and no $+$ or $-$ s).
- For example, if the input is $A = [2, 4, 0, 6, -8]$, and you decide to form the expression $2 * 4 + 0 - 6 * (-8)$, then you get

2	*	4	+	0	-	6	*	-8	=	56
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----

- So the first subarray has product $2 * 4 = 8$, the second subarray is 0, and the third subarray is $6 * (-8) = -48$.

The “Big Picture” of the task

- Why are we motivating ourselves to think about partitioning into subarrays of products, then taking their sums and differences?
- Because \times is calculated before $+$ and $-$, so this gives us a more organised and structured way to evaluate the expression!
- Now that we know how to handle \times , how can we handle and determine when to use $+$ or $-$?

The “Big Picture” of the task

- Let's look at our previous example again and look for more properties:

2	*	4	+	0	-	6	*	-8	=	56
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----

- Why did we choose to put a - instead of a + in front of $6 * (-8)$?
- Because the product $6 * (-8) = -48$ is negative!
- To maximise the final value of the expression, we should negate the negative product so that it contributes more to the final answer.

The “Big Picture” of the task

- So from the example above, we have realised that:
 - If a subarray has product < 0 , we should put a - in front of it.
 - If a subarray has product > 0 , we should put a + in front of it.
 - If a subarray has product $= 0$, it doesn't matter whether we put + or -.
- In other words, it contributes the absolute value of the product of the integers within a subarray towards the final answer.
- However, note that we are forced to (effectively) put a + in front of the first subarray.
- So mathematically, if we denote $P[i]$ to be the product of the i^{th} subarray, then the final answer is $P[1] + \text{abs}(P[2]) + \text{abs}(P[3]) + \dots + \text{abs}(P[k]) + \dots$

Subtask 4 (13%): $A[1] = 0$

- For a fixed partition, the final answer is $P[1] + \text{abs}(P[2]) + \text{abs}(P[3]) + \dots + \text{abs}(P[k]) + \dots$
- But because $A[1] = 0$ here, we know that $P[1] = 0$.
- So, we are trying to maximise $\text{abs}(P[2]) + \text{abs}(P[3]) + \dots + \text{abs}(P[k]) + \dots$
- Recall that if at least one term in a multiplication is 0, then the product must be 0 (which has a small absolute value \Rightarrow bad for us!)

Subtask 4 (13%): $A[1] = 0$

- We can essentially reuse the same spirit of the solution from Subtask 3.
- Compute the product of each of those maximal length subarrays without a 0, and sum their absolute values together to get the answer.
- Expected Score: $10 + 22 + 13 = 45$

Subtasks 5 & 6 (17% + 24%): $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[N]$ are all negative

- In the solution of Subtask 2, we justified that there is no need to partition into two or more subarrays. We can do something similar here:

-2	+	-4	*	-2	*	-6	*	-2	=	94
----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----

- **Observation:** there is no need to partition into three or more subarrays (so at most two is sufficient).
- Why? This is because for every subarray except the first, we can apply the argument that for all integers $a, b \geq 2$, we have $a \times b \geq a + b \geq 2$.

Subtasks 5 & 6 (17% + 24%): $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[N]$ are all negative

- If we splitted the maximal subarray which is not the first one somewhere in between, say for example we did

-2	-	-4	-	-2	*	-6	*	-2	=	26
----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----

then doing so would never be more optimal than keeping the nonzero elements in the same subarray to obtain the absolute value of its product.

- So, we can exhaust where the cut between the two subarray is (if it exists), and for each scenario, use the formula $P[1] + \text{abs}(P[2])$ to calculate the answer.
- Expected Score: $17 + 24 = 41$

Subtask 7 (6%): No additional constraints

- Recall our formula $P[1] + \text{abs}(P[2]) + \text{abs}(P[3]) + \dots + \text{abs}(P[k]) + \dots$
- Can we rewrite this formula in a way that is more convenient for us?

-2	+	-4	*	-2	*	-6	*	-2	=	94
----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----

- If the i -th subarray is formed by the elements $A[l], A[l + 1], A[l + 2], \dots, A[r]$, then by definition $P[i] = A[l] \times A[l + 1] \times A[l + 2] \times \dots \times A[r]$.
- Observe that

$$\text{abs}(P[i]) = \text{abs}(A[l]) \times \text{abs}(A[l + 1]) \times \text{abs}(A[l + 2]) \times \dots \times \text{abs}(A[r])$$
- Why (and how) is this form useful to us?

Subtask 7 (6%): No additional constraints

- If we fix where the first subarray ends at, then we obviously know the value of $P[1]$.
- Can we know the value of $\text{abs}(P[2]) + \text{abs}(P[3]) + \dots + \text{abs}(P[k]) + \dots$ too?
- Because we noted that $\text{abs}(P[i]) = \text{abs}(A[l]) \times \text{abs}(A[l + 1]) \times \text{abs}(A[l + 2]) \times \dots \times \text{abs}(A[r])$, we can simply take the absolute value of each element for the remaining suffix, then apply the solution of Subtask 3, since all elements are non-negative.
- Expected Score: 100

Remarks

- Implementation can be very light if done properly, the model solution in C++ is shorter than 500 bytes :)
- Challenge: solve the problem when the integers given are not necessarily even. Can you find an efficient solution?